

## 2.10 Quantified Statements

We have mentioned that if  $P(x)$  is an open sentence over a domain  $S$ , then  $P(x)$  is a statement for each  $x \in S$ . We illustrate this again.

**Example 2.22** If  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ , then

$$P(n) : \frac{2n^2 + 5 + (-1)^n}{2} \text{ is prime.}$$

is a statement for each  $n \in S$ . Therefore,

$P(1)$  : 3 is prime.

$P(2)$  : 7 is prime.

$P(3)$  : 11 is prime.

$P(4)$  : 19 is prime.

are true statements, while

$P(5)$ : 27 is prime.

$P(6)$ : 39 is prime.

$P(7)$ : 51 is prime.

are false statements. ◆

There are other ways that an open sentence can be converted into a statement, namely by a method called **quantification**. Let  $P(x)$  be an open sentence over a domain  $S$ . Adding the phrase “For every  $x \in S$ ” to  $P(x)$  produces a statement called a **quantified statement**. The phrase “for every” is referred to as the **universal quantifier** and is denoted by the symbol  $\forall$ . Other ways to express the universal quantifier are “for each” and “for all”. This quantified statement is expressed in symbols by

$$\forall x \in S, P(x) \quad (2.2)$$

and is expressed in words by

$$\text{For every } x \in S, P(x). \quad (2.3)$$

The quantified statement (2.2) (or (2.3)) is true if  $P(x)$  is true for every  $x \in S$ ; while the quantified statement (2.2) is false if  $P(x)$  is false for at least one element  $x \in S$ .

Another way to convert an open sentence  $P(x)$  over a domain  $S$  into a statement through quantification is by the introduction of a quantifier called an **existential quantifier**. Each of the phrases “there exists”, “there is”, “for some”, and “for at least one” is referred to as an **existential quantifier** and is denoted by the symbol  $\exists$ . The quantified statement

$$\exists x \in S, P(x) \quad (2.4)$$

can be expressed in words by

$$\text{There exists } x \in S \text{ such that } P(x). \quad (2.5)$$

The quantified statement (2.4) (or (2.5)) is true if  $P(x)$  is true for at least one element  $x \in S$ , while the quantified statement (2.4) is false if  $P(x)$  is false for all  $x \in S$ .

We now consider two quantified statements constructed from the open sentence we saw in Example 2.22.

**Example 2.23** For the open sentence

$$P(n) : \frac{2n^2 + 5 + (-1)^n}{2} \text{ is prime.}$$

over the domain  $S = \{1, 2, \dots, 7\}$ , the quantified statement

$$\forall n \in S, P(n) : \text{For every } n \in S, \frac{2n^2 + 5 + (-1)^n}{2} \text{ is prime.}$$

is false since  $P(5)$  is false, for example; while the quantified statement

$$\exists n \in S, P(n) : \text{There exists } n \in S \text{ such that } \frac{2n^2 + 5 + (-1)^n}{2} \text{ is prime.}$$

is true since  $P(1)$  is true, for example. ◆

The quantified statement  $\forall x \in S, P(x)$  can also be expressed as

$$\text{If } x \in S, \text{ then } P(x).$$

Consider the open sentence  $P(x) : x^2 \geq 0$ , over the set  $\mathbf{R}$  of real numbers. Then

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, P(x)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, x^2 \geq 0$$

can be expressed as

$$\text{For every real number } x, x^2 \geq 0.$$

or

$$\text{If } x \text{ is a real number, then } x^2 \geq 0.$$

as well as

The square of every real number is nonnegative.

In general, the universal quantifier is used to claim that the statement resulting from a given open sentence is true when each value of the domain of the variable is assigned to the variable. Consequently, the statement  $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, x^2 \geq 0$  is true since  $x^2 \geq 0$  is true for every real number  $x$ .

Suppose now that we were to consider the open sentence  $Q(x) : x^2 \leq 0$ . The statement  $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, Q(x)$  (that is, for every real number  $x$ , we have  $x^2 \leq 0$ ) is false since, for example,  $Q(1)$  is false. Of course, this means that its negation is true. If it were not the case that for every real number  $x$ , we have  $x^2 \leq 0$ , then there must exist some real number  $x$  such that  $x^2 > 0$ . This negation

$$\text{There exists a real number } x \text{ such that } x^2 > 0.$$

can be written in symbols as

$$\exists x \in \mathbf{R}, x^2 > 0 \text{ or } \exists x \in \mathbf{R}, \sim Q(x).$$

More generally, if we are considering an open sentence  $P(x)$  over a domain  $S$ , then

$$\sim(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \equiv \exists x \in S, \sim P(x).$$

**Example 2.24** Suppose that we are considering the set  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and its power set  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , the set of all subsets of  $A$ . Then the quantified statement

$$\text{For every set } B \in \mathcal{P}(A), A - B \neq \emptyset. \quad (2.6)$$

is false since for the subset  $B = A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we have  $A - B = \emptyset$ . The negation of the statement (2.6) is

$$\text{There exists } B \in \mathcal{P}(A) \text{ such that } A - B = \emptyset. \quad (2.7)$$

The statement (2.7) is therefore true since for  $B = A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ , we have  $A - B = \emptyset$ . The statement (2.6) can also be written as

$$\text{If } B \subseteq A, \text{ then } A - B \neq \emptyset. \quad (2.8)$$

Consequently, the negation of (2.8) can be expressed as

There exists some subset  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $A - B = \emptyset$ . ♦

The existential quantifier is used to claim that at least one statement resulting from a given open sentence is true when the values of a variable are assigned from its domain. We know that for an open sentence  $P(x)$  over a domain  $S$ , the quantified statement  $\exists x \in S, P(x)$  is true provided  $P(x)$  is a true statement for at least one element  $x \in S$ . Thus the statement  $\exists x \in \mathbf{R}, x^2 > 0$  is true since, for example,  $x^2 > 0$  is true for  $x = 1$ .

The quantified statement

$$\exists x \in \mathbf{R}, 3x = 12$$

is therefore true since there is some real number  $x$  for which  $3x = 12$ , namely  $x = 4$  has this property. (Indeed,  $x = 4$  is the *only* real number for which  $3x = 12$ .) On the other hand, the quantified statement

$$\exists n \in \mathbf{Z}, 4n - 1 = 0$$

is false as there is no integer  $n$  for which  $4n - 1 = 0$ . (Of course,  $4n - 1 = 0$  when  $n = 1/4$  but  $1/4$  is not an integer.)

Suppose that  $Q(x)$  is an open sentence over a domain  $S$ . If the statement  $\exists x \in S, Q(x)$  is *not* true, then it must be the case that for every  $x \in S, Q(x)$  is false. That is,

$$\sim(\exists x \in S, Q(x)) \equiv \forall x \in S, \sim Q(x).$$

We illustrate this with a specific example.

**Example 2.25** *The following statement contains the existential quantifier:*

$$\text{There exists a real number } x \text{ such that } x^2 = 3. \tag{2.9}$$

If we let  $P(x) : x^2 = 3$ , then (2.9) can be rewritten as  $\exists x \in \mathbf{R}, P(x)$ . The statement (2.9) is true since  $P(x)$  is true when  $x = \sqrt{3}$  (or when  $x = -\sqrt{3}$ ). Hence the negation of (2.9) is:

$$\text{For every real number } x, x^2 \neq 3. \tag{2.10}$$

The statement (2.10) is therefore false. ♦

Let  $P(x, y)$  be an open sentence, where the domain of the variable  $x$  is  $S$  and the domain of the variable  $y$  is  $T$ . Then the quantified statement

$$\text{For all } x \in S \text{ and } y \in T, P(x, y).$$

can be expressed symbolically as

$$\forall x \in S, \forall y \in T, P(x, y). \tag{2.11}$$

The negation of the statement (2.11) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sim(\forall x \in S, \forall y \in T, P(x, y)) &\equiv \exists x \in S, \sim(\forall y \in T, P(x, y)) \\ &\equiv \exists x \in S, \exists y \in T, \sim P(x, y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

We now consider examples of quantified statements involving two variables.

**Example 2.26** Consider the statement

$$\text{For every two real numbers } x \text{ and } y, x^2 + y^2 \geq 0. \quad (2.13)$$

If we let

$$P(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \geq 0$$

where the domain of both  $x$  and  $y$  is  $\mathbf{R}$ , then statement (2.13) can be expressed as

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \forall y \in \mathbf{R}, P(x, y) \quad (2.14)$$

or as

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbf{R}, P(x, y).$$

Since  $x^2 \geq 0$  and  $y^2 \geq 0$  for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  and so  $x^2 + y^2 \geq 0$ ,  $P(x, y)$  is true for all real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  and the quantified statement (2.14) is true.

The negation of statement (2.14) is therefore

$$\sim(\forall x \in \mathbf{R}, \forall y \in \mathbf{R}, P(x, y)) \equiv \exists x \in \mathbf{R}, \exists y \in \mathbf{R}, \sim P(x, y), \quad (2.15)$$

which, in words, is

$$\text{There exist real numbers } x \text{ and } y \text{ such that } x^2 + y^2 < 0. \quad (2.16)$$

The statement (2.16) is therefore false.  $\blacklozenge$

For an open sentence containing two variables, the domains of the variables need not be the same.

**Example 2.27** Consider the statement

$$\text{For every } s \in S \text{ and } t \in T, st + 2 \text{ is a prime.} \quad (2.17)$$

where the domain of the variable  $s$  is  $S = \{1, 3, 5\}$  and the domain of the variable  $t$  is  $T = \{3, 9\}$ . If we let

$$Q(s, t) : st + 2 \text{ is a prime.}$$

then the statement (2.17) can be expressed as

$$\forall s \in S, \forall t \in T, Q(s, t). \quad (2.18)$$

Since all of the statements

$$Q(1, 3) : 1 \cdot 3 + 2 \text{ is a prime.} \quad Q(3, 3) : 3 \cdot 3 + 2 \text{ is a prime.}$$

$$Q(5, 3) : 5 \cdot 3 + 2 \text{ is a prime.}$$

$$Q(1, 9) : 1 \cdot 9 + 2 \text{ is a prime.} \quad Q(3, 9) : 3 \cdot 9 + 2 \text{ is a prime.}$$

$$Q(5, 9) : 5 \cdot 9 + 2 \text{ is a prime.}$$

are true, the quantified statement (2.18) is true.

As we saw in (2.12), the negation of the quantified statement (2.18) is

$$\sim(\forall s \in S, \forall t \in T, Q(s, t)) \equiv \exists s \in S, \exists t \in T, \sim Q(s, t)$$

and so the negation of (2.17) is

$$\text{There exist } s \in S \text{ and } t \in T \text{ such that } st + 2 \text{ is not a prime.} \quad (2.19)$$

The statement (2.19) is therefore false.  $\blacklozenge$

Again, let  $P(x, y)$  be an open sentence, where the domain of the variable  $x$  is  $S$  and the domain of the variable  $y$  is  $T$ . The quantified statement

$$\text{There exist } x \in S \text{ and } y \in T \text{ such that } P(x, y).$$

can be expressed in symbols as

$$\exists x \in S, \exists y \in T, P(x, y). \quad (2.20)$$

The negation of the statement (2.20) is

$$\begin{aligned} \sim(\exists x \in S, \exists y \in T, P(x, y)) &\equiv \forall x \in S, \sim(\exists y \in T, P(x, y)) \\ &\equiv \forall x \in S, \forall y \in T, \sim P(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

We now illustrate this situation.

**Example 2.28** Consider the open sentence

$$R(s, t) : |s - 1| + |t - 2| \leq 2,$$

where the domain of the variable  $s$  is the set  $S$  of even integers and the domain of the variable  $t$  is the set  $T$  of odd integers. Then the quantified statement

$$\exists s \in S, \exists t \in T, R(s, t) \quad (2.22)$$

can be expressed in words as

$$\text{There exist an even integer } s \text{ and an odd integer } t \text{ such that } |s - 1| + |t - 2| \leq 2. \quad (2.23)$$

Since  $R(2, 3) : 1 + 1 \leq 2$  is true, the quantified statement (2.23) is true.

The negation of (2.22) is therefore

$$\sim(\exists s \in S, \exists t \in T, R(s, t)) \equiv \forall s \in S, \forall t \in T, \sim R(s, t) \quad (2.24)$$

and so the negation of (2.22), in words, is

$$\text{For every even integer } s \text{ and every odd integer } t, |s - 1| + |t - 2| > 2. \quad (2.25)$$

The quantified statement (2.25) is therefore false.  $\blacklozenge$

Quantified statements may contain both universal and existential quantifiers. We will encounter this in Section 7.2.